IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF NORTH CAROLINA EASTERN DIVISION No. 4:14-CR-2-D

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA)	
37)	ORDER
v.)	ORDER
EUNICE ANTANET SAUNDERS,)	
Defendant.)	

On February 10, 2014, pursuant to a plea agreement [D.E. 15], Eunice Antanet Saunders ("Saunders") pleaded guilty to conspiracy to distribute and possess with the intent to distribute 28 grams or more of cocaine base (crack) and a quantity of cocaine in violation of 21 U.S.C. §§ 841(a)(1) and 846. [D.E. 15]. On June 4, 2014, the court held Saunders's sentencing hearing. See [D.E. 24]. At the hearing, the court adopted the facts set forth in the Presentence Investigation Report ("PSR"). See [D.E. 26] 1; Fed. R. Crim. P. 32(i)(3)(A)-(B). The court calculated Saunders's total offense level to be 23, her criminal history category to be III, and her advisory guideline range to be 60 to 71 months. See [D.E. 26] 1. The court then granted the government's motion under U.S.S.G. § 5K1.1 and 18 U.S.C. § 3553(e). See [D.E. 23]; [D.E. 26] 1–2. After thoroughly considering all relevant factors under 18 U.S.C. § 3553(a), the court sentenced Saunders to 54 months' imprisonment. See [D.E. 25].

On June 24, 2015, Saunders moved for a sentence reduction under 18 U.S.C. § 3582(c)(2), U.S.S.G. § 1B1.10, and Amendment 782 to the Sentencing Guidelines. [D.E. 29]. On November 17, 2015, Saunders filed a memorandum in support of her motion [D.E. 31]. On November 24, 2015, the government responded [D.E. 33]. Saunders's new advisory guideline range is 46 to 57

months' imprisonment, based on a total offense level of 21 and a criminal history category of III.

See Resentencing Report. Saunders requests a 41-month sentence. See [D.E. 31].

The court has discretion to reduce Saunders's sentence. See, e.g., Dillon v. United States, 560 U.S. 817, 827 (2010); United States v. Cole, 618 F. App'x 178, 178–79 (4th Cir. 2015) (per curiam) (unpublished); United States v. Thomas, 546 F. App'x 225, 225–26 (4th Cir. 2013) (per curiam) (unpublished); United States v. Perez, 536 F. App'x 321, 321 (4th Cir. 2013) (per curiam) (unpublished); United States v. Smalls, 720 F.3d 193, 195–97 (4th Cir. 2013); United States v. Mann, 709 F.3d 301, 306–07 (4th Cir. 2013); United States v. Stewart, 595 F.3d 197, 200 (4th Cir. 2010). In deciding whether to reduce Saunders's sentence, the court finds that Saunders engaged in serious criminal behavior. See PSR [D.E. 16] ¶ 7–10. Furthermore, Saunders has a disturbing criminal history. Saunders's criminal history includes convictions for affray (two counts), simple assault (two counts), accessory after the fact, illegal sale of firearms, use of a firearm in connection with a drug-trafficking offense, uttering a forged instrument, and possession of stolen goods. See id. ¶ 14–23. Nonetheless, Saunders has engaged in some positive behavior while incarcerated on her federal sentence. See [D.E. 31] 5–7.

Having reviewed the entire record and all relevant policy statements, the court finds that Saunders received the sentence that was "sufficient, but not greater than necessary" under 18 U.S.C. § 3553(a) and finds that further reducing Saunders's sentence would threaten public safety in light of her serious criminal conduct and serious criminal history. <u>Cf. U.S.S.G.</u> § 1B1.10, cmt. n.1(B)(ii). Saunders's serious criminal conduct and serious criminal history do not support further reducing Saunders's sentence. Thus, the court denies Saunders's motion for reduction of sentence. <u>See, e.g.</u>, <u>Cole</u>, 618 F. App'x at 178–79; <u>Thomas</u>, 546 F. App'x at 225–26; <u>Perez</u>, 536 F. App'x at 321.

In sum, the court DENIES Saunders's motion for reduction of sentence [D.E. 29].

SO ORDERED. This <u>3</u> day of November 2016.

AMES C. DEVER III

Chief United States District Judge